

RECEIVED
JUN 9 1994
BIVST-CHICAGO
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION V

DATE:

6/3/94

SUBJECT: Review of Region V CLP Data
Received for Review on

May 24, 1994

FROM: Charles T. Elly, Director (SL-10C)
Central Regional Laboratory

MSP for CTE

TO: Data User: BTV

We have reviewed the data for the following case.

SITE NAME: Ave. D & 118th ST (IL)

CASE and/or SAS NUMBER: 21882 SDG NUMBER: EWW46

Number and Type of Samples: 19 (Water/Soil)

CLP Sample Numbers: EWT 72, 80 EWT 93-98, EWW46-47, 49-5
EWX82, EWK84-89
CLP Laboratory: AATS (LA) Hrs. for Review 18 1/2

Following are our findings:

The data are acceptable for
use with qualification.



Mumtaz Farber

6/3/94

- Data are acceptable for use.
- Data are acceptable for use with qualification.
- Data are preliminary, pending verification by laboratory.
- Data are unacceptable.

cc: Edward Kantor, EMSL-Las Vegas
Julie Frankel, VIAR & Co. (SMO)

NARRATIVE

**CONTRACTOR; AATSLA
SITE: Ave. O & 118 St. (IL)**

**Page 1 OF 14
Case Number 21882**

This case consisted of 15 soil samples and 4 water samples which were submitted for routine analysis. These samples were received by the laboratory, after a delay by the shipper, several coolers were received at 55°C, and run with some problems.

This reviewers comments are contained in the following pages of this narrative.

NARRATIVE

CONTRACTOR; AATSLA
SITE: Ave. O & 118 St. (IL)

Page 2 OF 14
Case Number 21882

1. Holding Times:

This case consisted of 15 soil samples and 4 water samples. All of the samples were received on April 13 and 15, 1994; the volatile samples were analyzed and the semi-volatile and pesticides were extracted and run by the laboratory in time to meet the required holding time criteria, except for volatile samples EWX82, EWX82RE, and EWX88. The positive detects for these samples should be considered as estimated (J) and all non-detects as estimated (UJ). For the remainder of the samples in this case all results are acceptable.

2. GC/MS Tuning and GC Instrument Performance:

Both the tuning and the instrument performance were satisfactory. However, the semi-volatile and pesticide baseline did exhibit some problems with excessive rise.

3. Calibration:

Initial and continuing calibrations of VOC, Semi-Voc, and Pesticide standards were evaluated for the target compound list (TCL) and TICs and outliers were recorded on the outlier forms included as part of this narrative.

4. Method Blank:

The volatile blanks VBLK01, VBLK03, VBLK04, VBLK05, VBLK06, and VBLK07 were found to be clean. VBLK02 contained the common laboratory chemical acetone and 4 TICs, while VBLK08 only contained acetone. Concentrations of this common laboratory chemical must be at least 10x higher in the associated samples to be considered usable while the TICs must be 5x the blank concentration to be considered usable.

The semi-volatile blank SBLK01 was clean while SBLK02 contained the common laboratory chemical bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and 5 TICs. SBLK03 contained the chemical pyrene and 5 TICs. SBLK04 contained the common laboratory chemical bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and 2 TICs. Concentration of the common laboratory chemical must be at least 10x higher in the associated samples to be usable, while the concentration of chrysene in the associated samples must be at least 5x the blank concentration to be considered usable.

The Pesticide blanks PBLK1, PBLK2, PBLK3, and PBLK4 were found to be clean.

Associated samples are included on form (IV).

NARRATIVE

Page 3 OF 14
Case Number 21882

CONTRACTOR; AATSLA

SITE: Ave. O & 118 St. (IL)

5. Suggogate Recoveries:

The volatile water samples had no system monitoring compounds out side of QC limits. In the volatile soil fraction EWT94RE had SMC2 (bromofluorobenzene) out of control and sample EWX87 had SMC3 (1,2-dichloroethane-d4) out of control. All positive hits of the associated compounds in these samples should be considered as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

In the semi-volatile fraction there are no surrogate recovery problems. However the Form II for the soil recoveries, the laboratory inappropriately used the 'D' qualifier. This qualifier needs to be removed from this form.

In the pesticide fraction, no problems were encountered with the water samples. With the soil surrogates, DCB(decachlorobiphenyl) was in control for the following samples; EWT93, EWT94, EWT98, EWX84, EWX84MS, EWX84MSD. EWX86, and EWX89. Both detects and non-detects for the associated compounds should be considered estimated (J).

DCB had 0% recovery in samples EWT80 and EWT96 for these samples all hits of associated compounds should be considered as estimated (J) and all non-detects as unusable (R).

6. MS/MSD and RPD (LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE):

Sample EWX84 was used for spiking for the VOA, Semi-VOA and Pesticide/PCB soil samples.

In the volatile fraction the MS %REC and the MSD %REC were high for 1,1-dichloroethene, benzene, toluene, and chlorobenzene. The %RPD was also out for 1,1-dichloroethene.

In the Semi-volatile soil spike sample the MS %REC and the %RPD were out of control for acenaphthene, pentachlorophenol and pyrene. The MSD %REC was out of control for pentachlorophenol and pyrene. All associated compounds in the unspiked sample are to be considered as estimated (J) for all detects and (UJ) for all non-detects.

In the pesticide fraction no problems were encountered.

7. Field blanks and Field Duplicates and other QC:

The COC indicated that EWW46 and EWW47 were trip blanks. EWW49 and EWW50 were indicated to be rinsate blanks. However, the laboratory only in the volatile fraction, chose to run EWW47 and EWW50 as soils. Volatile soils are run via a heated purge while volatile waters are run off of a non-heated purge. The calibrations for soils and waters are both done from a water sample, and the purge is either heated or not depending if it is a soil or water. As there is really no problem with the quantitation of these two water samples no additional

NARRATIVE

Page 4 OF 14
Case Number 21882

CONTRACTOR; AATSLA

SITE: Ave. O & 118 St. (IL)

qualification is needed, however the units on the Form I need to be changed from ug/Kg to ug/L.

8. Internal Standards:

All internal standards met QC requirements.

9. Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits:

All target compounds and TICs were properly reported in the volatile, semi-volatile, and pesticide fractions; therefore data is acceptable.

In the volatile fraction naphthalene is reported as a TIC in sample EWT95. This needs to be removed as it is inappropriate to have a TCL called a TIC in a different fraction.

In the semi-volatile fraction samples EWT72, EWT93, EWT94, EWT96, EWT98, and EWX88 had TCL compounds that were over range and qualified by the laboratory 'E'. The values for these compounds should be taken from the diluted samples.

In the pesticide fraction samples EWT93, EWT94, EWT98, and EWX87 received 5:1 dilutions. Samples EWX85 and EWX86 received 2:1 dilutions. All of these samples should also have been run undiluted as well. Therefore all nondetects in these samples are to be considered as unusable (R). In sample EWX89 the 4,4'-DDE needs to be qualified as 'E' and the value for this compound should be taken from the diluted extract.

10. Compound Identification:

Target compounds and TICs were identified by 'best fit' library search method and appear to be correct, except in the pesticide fraction where it is not known, for sure what and how much is present due to the large differences of concentrations reported between the two columns. Much of the pesticide data bears the 'P' qualifier as a result of these differences. However, upon review of the raw data none of these differences can be attributed to poor integration of the samples. It should be also noted that these pesticide samples did exhibit severe baseline and contamination problems.

11. System Performance:

Base line indicated acceptable performance; therefore data is acceptable in the volatile and semi-volatile fractions. In the semi-volatile and pesticide fractions, base line conditions indicate major contamination of the samples.

12. Overall Case Assessment:

The coolers containing volatile samples EWW47, EWW50, EWT80, EWT93, EWT94, EWT95, EWT96, EWT97, EWT98, EWT72, EWX82, and EWX88

Reviewed by M. Kaminsky Lockheed/ESAT
May 5, 1994

NARRATIVE

CONTRACTOR; AATSLA

SITE: Ave. O & 118 St. (IL)

Page 5 OF 14
Case Number 21882

were received by the laboratory one day late through no fault of the laboratory, and was at 55°F. This temperature at time of receipt was not noted on the COC but was conveyed to SMO. The results for these volatile samples for all detects may be biased low and therefore should be qualified as 'J' while all non-detects may be also biased low and should be qualified as 'UJ'. This reviewer found no other apparent case problems to be noted at this time.

CALIBRATION OUTLIERS
VOLATILE TCL COMPOUNDS
 (Page 1 of 1)

Pg 6 of 14

CASE/SAS#: 21882

COLUMN: Y

CONTRACTOR: ATSLA

SITE NAME: One O

Instrument#	HP2	Initial Cal	Contin Cal	Contin Cal	Contin Cal	Contin Cal.	Contin Cal								
Date/Time		4-19 0842	4-24 0851	4-25 0615	4-28 0740	5-02 1021									
#	rf	%rsd	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*
Chloromethane	0.01	1.231		0.914	25.8	51									
Bromomethane	0.10	456		1.084	25.6	5									
Vinyl chloride	0.10														
Chloroethane	0.01	0.771	30.5	0.531	33.1	51									
Methylene chloride	0.01	1.703											1.244	27.0	5
Acetone	0.01	0.216											0.443	105.1	5
Carbon disulfide	0.01														
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.10														
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.20														
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)															
Chloroform	0.20														
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.10														
2-Butanone	0.01	0.415											0.763	83.9	5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.10														
Carbon tetrachloride	0.10														
Bromodichloromethane	0.20														
1,2-Dichloropropane															
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.20														
Trichloroethene	0.30														
Dibromochloromethane	0.10														
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.10														
Benzene	0.50														
tran-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.10														
Bromoform	0.10														
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.01	0.321		0.272	34.0	51									
2-Hexanone	0.01	0.226		0.156	31.0	5							0.4128	89.4	5
Tetrachloroethene	0.20														
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50														
Toluene	0.40														
Chlorobenzene	0.50														
Ethylbenzene	0.10														
Styrene	0.30														
Xylene (total)	0.30														
Toluene-d8															
Bromoform															
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4															
Samples affected		U BLK02	U BLK03	U BLK06	U BLK07	U BLK08									
	EWX 85	EWX 80	EWX 72	EWX 82	EWX 88										
	EWX 86 RE	EWX 93	EWX 80 RE	EWX 82 RE											
	EWX 87 RE	EWX 74	EWX 84 RE												
	EWX 88 RE	EWX 95	EWX 95 RE												
	EWX 89 RE	EWX 96	EWX 96 RE												
			EWX 97												
			EWX 98 98 RE												
			EWX 99												

Reviewer's Init/Date: MK 5-25-91

* These flags should be applied to the analytes on the sample data sheets.

Minimum Relative Response Factor

CALIBRATION OUTLIERS HIGH CONCENTRATION VOLATILE TCL COMPOUNDS

Pg 7 of 14

CASE\LAS#: 21882
COLUMN: _____
HEATED PURGE (Y/N): N

(Page 1 of 1)

CONTRACTOR: Cat SIA
SITE NAME: One

Reviewer's Initials/Date: MKS-25-94

* These flags should be applied to the analytes on the sample data sheets.

Minimum Relative Response Factor

CALIBRATION OUTLIERS
VOLATILE TCL COMPOUNDS
 (Page 1 of 1)

Pg 8 of 14

CASE/SAS# 21882
 COLUMN: Y

CONTRACTOR: AATSLA
 SITE NAME: Dove O

Instrument#	Initial Cal	Contin Cal			Contin Cal			Contin. Cal.			Contin. Cal			
		#	rf	%rsd	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*
Chloromethane	0.01													
Bromomethane	0.10													
Vinyl chloride	0.10													
Chloroethane	0.01	0.379	49.1	3										
Methylene chloride	0.01													
Acetone	0.01	0.206	46.8	5										
Carbon disulfide	0.01													
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.10													
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.20													
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)														
Chloroform	0.20													
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.10													
2-Butanone	0.01	0.661	31.4	3										
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.10													
Carbon tetrachloride	0.10													
Bromodichloromethane	0.20													
1,2-Dichloropropane														
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.20													
Trichloroethene	0.30													
Dibromochloromethane	0.10													
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.10													
Benzene	0.50													
tran-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.10													
Bromoform	0.10													
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.01													
2-Hexanone	0.01													
Tetrachloroethene	0.20													
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50													
Toluene	0.40													
Chlorobenzene	0.50													
Ethylbenzene	0.10													
Styrene	0.30													
Xylene (total)	0.30													
Toluene-d8														
Bromofluorobenzene														
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4														
Samples affected:	VBLK01 EWX 84 EWX 86 EWX 87 EWX 89 EWX 84MJD													

Reviewer's Init/Date: MK 50594

* These flags should be applied to the analytes on the sample data sheets.

Minimum Relative Response Factor

Page 9 of 14

CALIBRATION OUTLIER
SEMITVOLATILE TCL COMPOUNDS

(Page 1 of 2)

CASE/SASK. 21882
COLUMN: _____

CONTRACTOR: AATSLA
SITE NAME: Site O

Instrument#	HPG	Initial Cal	Contin Cal.	Contin Cal	Contin Cal	Contin Cal	Contin Cal
Date/Time:		13-25	2116	9-18 1626	4-29 1340		
		*	rf	%rsd	*	rf	%d
Phenol	10.80						
bis(2-chloroethyl) Ether	10.70						
2-Chlorophenol	10.70						
1,3-Dichlorobenzene							
1,4-Dichlorobenzene							
1,2-Dichlorobenzene							
2-Methylphenol	10.70						
2,2'-Oxabis(1-chl-propane)	10.01						
4-Methylphenol	10.60						
N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	10.50						
Hexachloroethane	10.30						
Nitrobenzene	10.20						
Isoferone	10.40						
2-Nitrophenol	10.10						
2,4-Dimethylphenol	10.20						
bis-(2-chloroethyl)methane	10.30						
2,4-Dichlorophenol	10.20						
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	10.20						
Naphthalene	10.70						
4-Chloroaniline	10.01						
Hexachlorobutadiene	10.01						
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	10.20						
2-Methylnaphthalene	10.40						
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	10.01						
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	10.20						
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	10.20						
2-Chloronaphthalene	10.80						
2-Nitroaniline	10.01						
Dimethyl phthalate	10.01						
Acenaphthylene	11.30						
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	10.20						
3-Nitroaniline	10.01						
Acenaphthene	10.30						
2,4-Dinitrophenol	10.01	0.280			10.168	32.8	
4-Nitrophenol	10.01						
Dibenzofuran	0.80						
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	10.20						

Affected samples

SBLK01 SBLK04
EWW4S EWW50

Reviewer's Init/Date MK 5-26-94

* These flags should be applied to the analytics on the sample data sheets.

Minimum Relative Response Factor

**CALIBRATION OUTLIER
SEMIVOLATILE TCL COMPOUNDS**
(Page 2 of 2)

Pg 10 of 14

CASE/SAS#: 21882
COLUMN: _____

CONTRACTOR: AATSLA
SITE NAME: Row O

Instrument#	HPG	Initial Cal.			Contin. Cal.			Contin. Cal.			Contin. Cal.			Contin. Cal.		
Date/Time:		3-25	2116	4-18	1626	4-29	1340									
	#	rf	%rsd	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*
Diethylphthalate	0.01															
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.40															
Fluorene	0.90															
4-Nitroaniline	0.01															
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0.01	150			0.207	34.0	5									
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	0.01															
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	0.10															
Hexachlorobenzene	0.10															
Pentachlorophenol	0.05															
Phenanthrene	0.70															
Anthracene	0.70															
Carbazole																
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.01															
Fluoranthene	0.60															
Pyrene	0.60															
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.01															
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.01															
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.80															
Chrysene	0.70															
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.01															
Di-n-octyl phthalate	0.01															
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.70															
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.70	0.751	37.4	5												
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.70															
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.50															
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.40															
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.50															
Nitrobenzene-d5	0.01															
2-Fluorobiphenyl	0.70															
Terphenyl-d14	0.50															
Phenol-d5	0.80															
2-Fluorophenol	0.60															
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	0.01															
2-Chlorophenol-d4																
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4																

Reviewer's Initials/Date: MK 526-87

* These flags should be applied to the analytes on the sample data sheets.

Minimum Relative Response Factor

ESAT-5-023 3 8/93

PE 11 of 14

CALIBRATION OUTLIER
SEMOVOLATILE TCL COMPOUNDS
(Page 1 of 2)

CASE/SAS# 21882

COLUMN: _____

CONTRACTOR: AATSLA
SITE NAME: One O

Instrument#	HP6	Initial Cal	Contin Cal				
Date/Time:		5/13 1614	5/15 1157	5/16 1342	5/17 1411	5/17 1542	
		# rf	%rd	# rf	%rd	# rf	%rd
Phenol	'0 80						
bis(2-chloroethyl) Ether	'0 70						
2-Chloropheno!	'0 70						
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	'						
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	'						
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	'						
2-Methylphenol	'0 70						
2,2'-Oxibis(1-chl-propane)	'0 01						
4-Methylphenol	'0 60						
N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	'0 50						
Hexachloroethane	'0 30						
Nitrobenzene	'0 20						
Isophorone	'0 40						
2-Nitrophenol	'0 10						
2,4-Dimethylphenol	'0 20						
bis-(2-chloroethyl)-octane	'0 30						
2,4-Dichlorophenol	'0 20						
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	'0 20						
Naphthalene	'0 70						
4-Chloroaniline	'0 01 0487						0,372 35.21 J
Hexachlorobutadiene	'0 01						
4-Chloro-3-methyl-phenol	'0 20						
2-Methylnaphthalene	'0 40						
Hexachlorocyclohexadiene	'0 01						
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	'0 20						
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	'0 20						
2-Chloronaphthalene	'0 80						
2-Nitroaniline	'0 01						
Dimethyl phthalate	'0 01						
Acenaphthylene	'1 30						
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	'0 20						
3-Nitroaniline	'0 01						
Acenaphthene	'0 30						
2,4-Dinitrophenol	'0 01						
4-Nitrophenol	'0 01						
Dibenzofuran	'0 60						
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	'0 20						

Affected samples

	SBLK03	EWT 72	EWT 72DL	SBLK02
	EWT 80	EWT 80 DL	EWT 98DL	EWX 8Y
	EWT 93			EWX 85
	EWT 93 DL			EWX 86
	EWT 94			EWX 87
	EWT 94 DL			EWX 87DL
	EWT 95,96,96DL			EWX 89
	EWT 97,98			EWX 89m
	EWT 82,88			EWX 89NSD

Reviewer's Init/Date MKS-26-94

* These flags should be applied to the analytes on the sample data sheets.

Minimum Relative Response Factor

CALIBRATION OUTLIER
SEMIVOLATILE TCL COMPOUNDS
(Page 2 of 2)

Pg 12 of 14

CASE\ASAS#: 21882
COLUMN: _____

CONTRACTOR: HETSIA
SITE NAME: Am C

Instrument#	H/P6	Initial Cal.			Contin. Cal.			Contin. Cal.			Contin. Cal.			Contin. Cal.			Contin. Cal.			Contin. Cal.					
		Date/Time:	5/13	1614	5/15	1152	5/16	1347	5/17	1444	5/18	1042	#	rf	%rsd	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*
Diethylphthalate	0.01																								
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.40																								
Fluorene	0.90																								
4-Nitroaniline	0.01																								
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0.01																								
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	0.01																								
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	0.10																								
Hexachlorobenzene	0.10																								
Pentachlorophenol	0.05																								
Phenanthrene	0.70																								
Anthracene	0.70																								
Carbazole																									
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.01																								
Fluoranthene	0.60																								
Pyrene	0.60																								
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.01																								
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.01	0.362																				0.062	82.9	J	
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.80																								
Chrysene	0.70																								
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.01																								
Di-n-octyl phthalate	0.01																								
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.70																								
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.70	0.997																				1.256	26.0	J	
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.70																								
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.50																								
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.40																								
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.50																								
Nitrobenzene-d5	0.01																								
2-Fluorobiphenyl	0.70																								
Terphenyl-d14	0.50																								
Phenol-d5	0.80																								
2-Fluorophenol	0.60																								
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	0.01																								
2-Chlorophenol-d4																									
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4																									

Reviewer's Initials/Date: MK 5/26/94

* These flags should be applied to the analytes on the sample data sheets.

Minimum Relative Response Factor

ESAT-5-023.3 8/93

**CALIBRATION OUTLIER
PESTICIDE/PCB TCL COMPOUNDS**
(Page 1 of 1)

Pg 13 of 14

CASE\ SAS#: 21882
COLUMN: RTX35

CONTRACTOR: A ATS LA
SITE NAME: One O

Instrument#	HP3 F	Initial Cal.			Contin. Cal.			Contin. Cal.			Contin. Cal.			Contin. Cal.		
Date/Time:		4-27	202	5/02	1020		5/63	0836		5/66	1006					
	#	rf	%rsd	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*
alpha-BHC	0.01															
beta-BHC	0.40															
delta-BHC	0.90															
gamma-BHC	0.01															
Heptachlor	0.01															
Aldrin	0.01															
Heptachlor epoxide	0.10															
Endosulfan I	0.10															
Dieldrin	0.05															
4, 4'-DDE	0.70															
Endrin	0.70															
Endosulfan II	0.01															
4, 4'-DDD	0.60															
Endosulfan sulfate	0.60															
4, 4'-DDT	0.01															
Methoxychlor	0.01															
Endrin ketone	0.80															
Endrin aldehyde	0.70															
alpha chlordane	0.01															
gamma chlordane	0.01															
<u>Arochlor 1016</u>																
<u>Arochlor 1221</u>																
<u>Arochlor 1232</u>																
<u>Arochlor 1242</u>																
<u>Arochlor 1248</u>																
<u>Arochlor 1254</u>																
<u>Arochlor 1260</u>																

Affected samples:

EWUW49	PBLK1	EWX 8J
PBLK 2	EWX 8S	EWT 72
EWX 87DL	EWX 86	EWX 82
EWX 87	DRK 3	
EWX 89	EWW 50	
EWX 84	PBLK 4	
EWX 84MS	BWT 80	
EWX84MSD	EWT 93	
	EWT 94	
	EWT 95	
	EWT 96	
	EWT 97	
	EWT 98	

Reviewer's Init/Date: MK 5-26-94

* These flags should be applied to the analytes on the sample data sheets.

Minimum Relative Response Factor

CALIBRATION OUTLIER
PESTICIDE/PCB TCL COMPOUNDS
(Page 1 of 1)

Pg 14 of 14

CASE\AS #: 21882
COLUMN: RTX 1701

CONTRACTOR: BATSLA
SITE NAME: Ches O

Instrument#	HP 3R	Initial Cal.	Contin. Cal.													
Date/Time:		4-27 2028	5/02	1020	5/03	0836	5/06									
	#	rf	%rsd	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*	rf	%d	*
alpha-BHC	0.01															
beta-BHC	0.40															
delta-BHC	0.90															
gamma-BHC	0.01															
Heptachlor	0.01															
Aldrin	0.01															
Heptachlor epoxide	0.10															
Endosulfan I	0.10															
Dieldrin	0.05															
4, 4'-DDE	0.70															
Endrin	0.70															
Endosulfan II	0.01															
4, 4'-DDD	0.60															
Endosulfan sulfate	0.60															
4, 4'-DDT	0.01															
Methoxychlor	0.01															
Endrin ketone	0.80															
Endrin aldehyde	0.70															
alpha chlordane	0.01															
gamma chlordane	0.01															
Arochlor 1016																
Arochlor 1221																
Arochlor 1232																
Arochlor 1242																
Arochlor 1248																
Arochlor 1254																
Arochlor 1260																

Affected samples:

EWW 49	PBLK 1	EWX 83
PBLK 2	EWX 85	EWT 72
EWX 89DL	EWX 86	EWX 82
EWX 87	PBLK 3	
EWX 89	EWW 50	
EWX 84	PBLK 4	
EWX 84MS	EWT 86	
EWX 84MSD	EWT 93	
	EWT 94	
	EWT 95	
	EWT 96	
	EWT 97	
	EWT 98	

Reviewer's Init/Date: NMK 5-26-94

* These flags should be applied to the analytes on the sample data sheets.
Minimum Relative Response Factor

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS

For the purpose of defining the flagging nomenclature utilized in this document, the following code letters and associated definitions are provided:

VALUE-if the results is a value greater than or equal to the Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL).

- U** Indicates that the compound was analyzed, but not detected. The sample quantitation limit corrected for dilution and percent moisture is reported.
- J** Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of a compound but the result is less than the sample quantitation limit, but greater than zero.
- R** Indicates the data are unusable. (Note: The analyte may or may not be present.)
- N** Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This flag is only used for a tentatively identified compound, where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search.
- P** Indicates a pesticide/Aroclor target analyte when there is greater than 25% difference for the detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two results is reported.
- C** Indicates pesticide results that have been confirmed by GC/MS.
- B** Indicates the analyte is detected in the associated blank as well as the sample.
- E** Indicates compounds whose concentrations exceed the calibration range of the instrument.
- D** Indicates an identified compound in an analysis has been diluted. This flag alerts the data user to any differences between the concentrations reported in the two analysis.
- A** Indicates tentatively identified compounds that are suspected to be aldol condensation products.
- X** Indicates a manual adjustment was made to the program by the operator. The flag is automatically assigned by the computer and has no significance to the analysis result.
- G** Indicates the TCLP Matrix Spike Recovery was greater than the upper limit of the analytical method.
- L** Indicates the TCLP Matrix Spike Recovery was less than the lower limit of the analytical method.
- T** Indicates the analyte is found in the associated ~~TCLP~~ extraction blank as well as in the sample.